arXiv:1512.00788v1 [math.FA] 2 Dec 2015

A CHARACTERIZATION OF BARRELLEDNESS OF $C_p(X)$

S. GABRIYELYAN

Abstract. We prove that, for a Tychonoff space X, the space $C_p(X)$ is barrelled if and only if it is a Mackey group.

1. Introduction

For a Tychonoff space X we denote by $C_p(X)$ the space $C(X)$ of all continuous functions on X endowed with the pointwise topology. The relation between locally convex properties of $C_p(X)$ and topological properties of X is illustrated by the following famous result (all relevant definitions see Section 2).

Theorem 1.1 (Buchwalter–Schmets)**.** *For a Tychonoff space X, the space $C_p(X)$ is barrelled if and only if every functionally bounded subset of X is finite.*

In this paper we give another characterization of barrelledness of $C_p(X)$ using the notion of Mackey group in the class $\mathcal{LQC}$ of all locally quasi-convex abelian groups.

For an abelian topological group G we denote by $\widehat{G}$ the group of all continuous characters of G. Two topologies τ and ν on an abelian group G are said to be *compatible* if $\widehat{(G,\tau)} = \widehat{(G,\nu)}$. Being motivated by the classical Mackey–Arens theorem the following notion was introduced and studied in [2] (see also [3, 6]): a locally quasi-convex abelian group (G,τ) is called a *Mackey group in $\mathcal{LQC}$* or simply a *Mackey group* if for every compatible locally quasi-convex group topology ν on G associated with τ it follows that $\nu \leq \tau$. Every barrelled locally convex space (lcs for short) is a Mackey group by [2], see also Proposition 2.4 below. If a lcs E is a Mackey group then it is also a Mackey space, but the converse is not true in general. The first example of a Mackey (even metrizable) lcs E which is not a Mackey group is given in [6]. For any Tychonoff space X the space $C_p(X)$ is a Mackey *space* because it is quasibarrelled by Corollary 11.7.3 of [9]. However, it turns out that to be a Mackey *group* for $C_p(X)$ is equivalent to be a barrelled space.

Theorem 1.2. *For a Tychonoff space X, the space $C_p(X)$ is barrelled if and only if it is a Mackey group.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall that a subset A of a topological space X is called *functionally bounded in X* if every continuous real-valued function on X is bounded on A.

In what follows we need some notations. Denote by $\mathbb{S}$ the unit circle group and set $\mathbb{S}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{S} : \mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0\}$. If $\chi \in \widehat{G}$, it is considered as a homomorphism from G into $\mathbb{S}$. A subset A of G is called *quasi-convex* if for every $g \in G \setminus A$ there exists $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\chi(g) \notin \mathbb{S}_+$ and $\chi(A) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_+$. If $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq \widehat{G}$ set

$$A^{\triangleright} := \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \chi(A) \subset \mathbb{S}_+\}, \quad B^{\triangleleft} := \{g \in G : \chi(g) \in \mathbb{S}_+ \ \forall \chi \in B\}.$$

Then A is quasi-convex if and only if $A^{\triangleright\triangleleft} = A$. An abelian topological group is called *locally quasi-convex* if it admits a neighborhood base at zero consisting of quasi-convex sets.

Let G be an abelian topological group such that $\widehat{G}$ separates the points of G. Denote by $\sigma(G,\widehat{G})$ the *weak topology* on G, i.e., the smallest group topology on G for which the elements of $\widehat{G}$ are

continuous. In the dual group $\widehat{G}$, we denote by $\sigma(\widehat{G},G)$ the topology of pointwise convergence. Proposition 1.5 of [1] implies

Fact 2.1. *If U is a neighborhood of zero of an abelian topological group G, then $U^{\triangleright}$ is a $\sigma(\widehat{G},G)$-compact subset of $\widehat{G}$.*

Let E be a nontrivial locally convex space and denote by E' the topological dual space of E. Clearly, E is also an abelian topological group. Therefore we can consider the group $\widehat{E}$ of all continuous characters of E. The next important result is proved in [8, 12], see also [7, 23.32].

Fact 2.2. *Let E be a locally convex space. Then the mapping $p : E' \to \widehat{E}$, defined by the equality*

$$p(f) = \exp\{2\pi i f\}, \qquad \text{for all } f \in E',$$

is a group isomorphism between E' and $\widehat{E}$.

The following fact is Lemma 1.2 of [11] (for a more general result with a simpler proof, see [5]).

Fact 2.3. *Let E be a locally convex space. Then the space $(E,\sigma(E,E'))$ and the group $(E,\sigma(E,\widehat{E}))$ have the same family of compact subsets. Consequently, if K is a $\sigma(\widehat{E},E)$-compact subset of $\widehat{E}$, then the subset $p^{-1}(K)$ of E' is $\sigma(E',E)$-compact, where p is defined in Fact 2.2.*

Recall that a lcs E is *barrelled* if and only if every $\sigma(E',E)$-bounded subset of E' is equicontinuous. By Proposition 5.4 of [2], every barrelled space E is a Mackey group. For the sake of completeness and the convenience of the reader, we give below a direct and short proof of this fact.

Proposition 2.4 ([2])**.** *Every barrelled space (E,τ) is a Mackey group.*

Proof. Let ν be a locally quasi-convex compatible group topology on E associated with τ and let U be a quasi-convex ν-neighborhood of zero. We have to show that U is also a τ-neighborhood of zero.

Fact 2.1 implies that $U^{\triangleright}$ is a compact subset of $(\widehat{E},\sigma(\widehat{E},E))$. Hence the set $K := p^{-1}(U^{\triangleright})$ is a $\sigma(E',E)$-compact subset of E' by Fact 2.3. As (E,τ) is barrelled, K is equicontinuous. Hence the polar K° of K in the lcs E is a τ-neighborhood of zero, see [10, Theorem 8.6.4]. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}K^\circ &= \left\{x \in E : |\chi(x)| \le \frac{1}{4}, \forall \chi \in K\right\}\\ &\subseteq \{x \in E : p(\chi)(x) = \exp\{2\pi i\chi(x)\} \in \mathbb{S}_+, \forall \chi \in K\} = \big(p(K)\big)^{\triangleleft} = U^{\triangleright\triangleleft} = U.\end{aligned}$$

Thus U is a τ-neighborhood of zero. □

Recall that, for a Tychonoff space X, the sets of the form

$$W[y_1,\dots,y_s,\varepsilon] := \{f \in C(X) : |f(y_i)| < \varepsilon, i = 1,\dots,s\}$$

form a base at zero in $C_p(X)$, and the dual space of $C_p(X)$ is the space

$$(C_p(X))' = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} : x_1,\dots,x_m \in X,\ \lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m \in \mathbb{R},\ m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\delta_x(f) := f(x)$.

The following result is Lemma 11.7.1 of [9].

Fact 2.5. *If A is an infinite subset of a Tychonoff space X, then there is a one-to-one sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in A and a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open sets in X such that $a_n \in U_n$ and $\overline{U_n} \cap \overline{U_k} = \emptyset$ for every distinct $n,k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The following group plays an essential role in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Set

$$c_0(\mathbb{S}) := \{(z_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{N}} : \ z_n \to 1\},$$

and denote by $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ the group $c_0(\mathbb{S})$ endowed with the metric $d\big((z_n^1),(z_n^2)\big) = \sup\{|z_n^1 - z_n^2|, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ is a Polish group, and the sets of the form $V^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(\mathbb{S})$, where V is an open neighborhood at the identity of $\mathbb{S}$, form a base at the identity in $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$. Actually $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ is isomorphic to $c_0/\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ (see [4]), where $\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $Q : c_0 \to \mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ the quotient map, so $Q\big((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big) = \big(q(x_n)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}$ is defined by $q(x) = \exp\{2\pi i x\}$. The group $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ is locally quasi-convex and $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})} = \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ by Theorem 1 of [4].

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we write $[x]$ for the integral part of x. Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the Buchwalter–Schmets theorem and Proposition 2.4 to prove the theorem we have to show only that, if $C_p(X)$ is a Mackey group, then every functionally bounded subset of X is finite. Suppose for a contradiction that there is an infinite functionally bounded subset A of X. By Fact 2.5, there is a one-to-one sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in A and a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open sets in X such that $a_n \in U_n$ and $\overline{U_n} \cap \overline{U_k} = \emptyset$ for every distinct $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $\chi_n := \frac{1}{n}\delta_{a_n}$. As A is functionally bounded in X, $\chi_n(f) \to 0$ for every $f \in C(X)$. So we can define the linear injective operator $F : C(X) \to C_p(X) \times c_0$ and monomorphism $F_0 : C(X) \to C_p(X) \times \mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ setting $(\forall f \in C(X))$

$$F(f) := \big(f, R(f)\big), \text{ where } R(f) := \big(\chi_n(f)\big) \in c_0,$$
$$F_0(f) := \big(f, R_0(f)\big), \text{ where } R_0(f) := Q \circ R(f) = \big(\exp\{2\pi i \chi_n(f)\}\big) \in \mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S}).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}_0$ the topology on $C(X)$ induced from $C_p(X)\times c_0$ and $C_p(X)\times\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$, respectively. So $\mathcal{T}$ is a locally convex vector topology on $C(X)$ and $\mathcal{T}_0$ is a locally quasi-convex group topogy on $C(X)$ (since the group $\mathfrak{F}_0(\mathbb{S})$ is locally quasi-convex, and a subgroup of a product of locally quasi-convex groups is clearly locally quasi-convex). Denote by τ_p the pointwise topology on $C(X)$. Then, by construction, $\tau_p \le \mathcal{T}_0 \le \mathcal{T}$, so taking into account Fact 2.2 we obtain

$$\widehat{C_p(X)} \subseteq \big(\widehat{C(X),\mathcal{T}_0}\big) \subseteq p\big((C(X),\mathcal{T})'\big). \tag{2.2}$$

Let us show that the topologies τ_p and $\mathcal{T}_0$ are compatible. By (2.2), it is enough to show that each character of $\big(C(X),\mathcal{T}_0\big)$ is a character of $C_p(X)$. Fix $\chi \in \big(\widehat{C(X),\mathcal{T}_0}\big)$. Then (2.2) and the Hahn–Banach extension theorem imply that $\chi = p(\eta)$ for some

$$\eta = \big(\xi, (c_n)\big) \in (C_p(X))' \times \ell_1, \text{ where } \xi \in (C_p(X))' \text{ and } (c_n) \in \ell_1,$$

and

$$\eta(f) = \xi(f) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n \chi_n(f), \quad \forall f \in C(X).$$

To prove that $\chi \in \widehat{C_p(X)}$ it is enough to show that $c_n = 0$ for almost all indices n. Suppose for a contradiction that $|c_n| > 0$ for infinitely many indices n. Take a neighborhood U of zero in $\mathcal{T}_0$ such that (see Fact 2.2)

$$\eta(U) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right) + \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.3}$$

We can assume that U has a canonical form

$$U = F_0^{-1}\left(\Big(W[y_1,\dots,y_s;\varepsilon] \times \big(V^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(\mathbb{S})\big)\Big) \cap F_0\big(C(X)\big)\right),$$

for some points $y_1,\dots,y_s \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a neighborhood V of the identity of $\mathbb{S}$. Let $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \delta_{x_i}$ for some points $x_1,\dots,x_m \in X$ and $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$, see (2.1). Since $|c_n| > 0$ for infinitely many

indices and all a_n are distinct, we can find an index α such that $0 < |c_\alpha| < 1/100$ (recall that $(c_n) \in \ell_1$) and $a_\alpha \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_s\}$. Choose a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]$ such that

$$f(X \setminus U_\alpha) = \{0\},\ f(x_1) = \dots = f(x_m) = f(y_1) = \dots = f(y_s) = 0 \text{ and } f(a_\alpha) = 1,$$

and set $h(x) = \left[\frac{1}{4c_\alpha}\right] f(x)$. As $R_0(h) = 0$, it is clear that $h \in U$. Setting $r_\alpha := \frac{1}{4c_\alpha} - \left[\frac{1}{4c_\alpha}\right]$ (and hence $0 \le r_\alpha < 1$) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{100} < \eta(h) = c_\alpha h(a_\alpha) = c_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{4c_\alpha} - r_\alpha\right) = \frac{1}{4} - c_\alpha r_\alpha < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{100}.$$

But these inequalities contradict the inclusion (2.3). This contradiction shows that $c_n = 0$ for almost all indices n, and hence $\eta \in \big(C_p(X)\big)'$. Thus τ_p and $\mathcal{T}_0$ are compatible.

To finish the proof we have to show that the topology $\mathcal{T}_0$ is strictly finer than τ_p. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take a function $f_n : X \to [0, 1/2]$ such that

$$f_n(X \setminus U_n) = \{0\} \text{ and } f(a_n) = 1/2.$$

As $\overline{U_n} \cap \overline{U_k} = \emptyset$ for every distinct $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n \to 0$ in the pointwise topology τ_p. On the other hand,

$$F_0(f_n) = \big(f_n, (0, \dots, 0, -1, 0, \dots)\big),$$

where -1 is placed in position n. So $f_n \not\to 0$ in $\mathcal{T}_0$. Thus $\mathcal{T}_0$ is strictly finer than τ_p. □

We end with the following question.

Question 2.6. *For which Tychonoff spaces X the space $C_k(X)$ of all continuous functions endowed with the compact-open topology is a Mackey group?*

References

1. W. Banaszczyk, *Additive subgroups of topological vector spaces*, LNM 1466, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1991.
2. M. J. Chasco, E. Martín-Peinador and V. Tarieladze, On Mackey topology for groups, Studia Math. **132** (1999), 257–284.
3. J. M. Díaz Nieto, E. Martín Peinador, Characteristics of the Mackey topology for abelian topological groups, *Descriptive topology and functional analysis*, 117–141, Proc. Math. Stat., Springer, Cham, **80**, 2014.
4. S. Gabriyelyan, Groups of quasi-invariance and the Pontryagin duality, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), 2786–2802.
5. S. Gabriyelyan, On topological properties of the group of the null sequences valued in an Abelian topological group, available in arXiv: 1306.511.
6. S. Gabriyelyan, On the Mackey topology for abelian topological groups and locally convex spaces, preprint.
7. E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
8. E. Hewitt, H. Zuckerman, A group-theoretic method in approximation theory, Ann. Math. **52** (1950), 557–567.
9. H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
10. L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, *Topological vector spaces*, Second Edition, CRC Press, New York, 2011.
11. D. Remus, F. J. Trigos-Arrieta, Abelian groups which satisfy Pontryagin duality need not respect compactness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1993), 1195–1200.
12. M. F. Smith, The Pontrjagin duality theorem in linear spaces, Ann. Math. **56** (1952), 248–253.

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel
E-mail address: saak@math.bgu.ac.il